

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/078,659	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YOSHIKAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Holly Rickman	<b>Art Unit</b> 1773	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Holly Rickman.

 (3) Keiko Takagi.

 (2) Jennifer Hayes.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3/16/04, 3/18/04
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
*none*

**Claims discussed:**  
*3-5*

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
*art of record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a telephone conversation with Ms. Hayes on March 16, 2004, the examiner indicated that claims 3-5 were not allowable over the art of record but all other claims were in condition for allowance. Ms. Hayes agreed to discuss the possibility of canceling claims 3-5 by an examiner's amendment with her clients. On March 18, 2004, Ms. Takagi called back to approve the cancelation of claims 3-5 and the amendment of the dependencies of claims 6-7,9-10 and 14. It was also agreed that claim 13 would be canceled because the claim lacked antecedent basis for "g"

**HOLLY RICKMAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**